Drain: FLLCON LILSON Drain #: 126
Improvement/Arm: Gasche - County Farem
Operator: J. L. WING STON Date: 8-27-00
Drain Classification: Urban Rural Year Installed: 1910

### **GIS Drain Input Checklist**

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors



# Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost Drain Length Log

Drain-Improvement: ELWOOD WILSON - GASCHO - COUNTY FARM

		Length	Length	Longth		plicable
Drain Type:	Size:	Lengui	(DB Query)	Length Reconcile	Price:	Cost:
TILE	18"	6625	3719'	-2906	6=/1	24,173.30
					717	121/13
Shale		2800'	2800		19:55/1	54740=
					**************************************	
	Sum:	9425'	4519	-2906		\$ <del>78</del> 913 · 56
		-2800'	- 2800'	PANALLER	BRAG	7.0.0
inal Report:		9425' -2800' 6625'	3719'	-2906		
omments:						
V. Danieli	David					
H PANALLEC PLANS FOR	- DRAIN REALLISAIM	COULD FILL ELT PROVE	D JORGE	THE I	SWACE	CONSTRUCTE
2906 OF TH	ie repipie	D BY 1981 R	ECONSTIENCTIO	nd. NELSEN	1 RELOCK	Moral MOBIE
HIGH SEHOUL		I am ula.	10-	1411	111 -	7 7

State of Indiana, SS: Hamilton County,

In the matter of the Gascho- County Farm Drain.

REPORT OF THE DRAINAGE COMMISSIONERS TO THE HONORABLE

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, HAMILTON COUNTY, INDIANA.

The undersigned Drainage Commissioners to whom was referred the above entitled petition for drainage would respectfully report that we must as directed on the order of the Board, on the 10th. day of May, 1910 and begun the work of laying out the drain and making personal inspection of lands affected by said proposed drain. We would respectfully report that we have definately determined the best and cheapest method of draining said land and have fixed the route, location and character of the proposed work///That and fixed the same by metes and bounds, courses, distances and descriptions, grades and bench marks including all necessary arms or b branches so as to provide for the complete drainage of the lands affected by the proposed work.

We have divided the work into sections of not more than 100 feet in length and have computed and set out the number oc cubic yards of excavation in each station and have assessed the benefits to each separarte tract of land and to the proper Township where highways are affected.

We have fixed the beginning and outlet of said proposed drain so as to secure the best results and cause the least possible injury to lands a affected and so as to benefit the public highways.

We believe that the drain when completed will be practicable and will improve the public health and benefit six public highways; that the drain w will be of public utility and that the costs and expenses of completing said work will be less than the benefits to the owners of the lands assessed for the construction; that the best and cheapest method of securing said drainage is by a Main Ditch and a reconstruction of that part of the Holleran Drain on the land of Horace G. Brown each of which is located as follows:

#### Location.

Main Ditch.

Beginning at stake 33 on what is known as the Sam Craig Ditch on the land now owned by Eva S. Barker and run thence in a west and south westerly direction following in the old channel of the open drain to stake 24+75 on the Elias Gascho Drain where said Main Ditch terminates

Brown Section.

Beginning where the Holleran Drain crosses the East line of the land owned by Horace G. Brown and run thence on the line of the said Holleran Drain to the East line if the right-of-way of the highway running north and south on the west side of said Brown land

#### Requirements.

#### Brown Section.

To consist of one row of 12 inch drain tile from Station 0 to station 14 or terminus. Old tile incready in place to be carefully taken up and replaced to grade as shown on the profile hereafter shown for said section. No tile to be replaced which are not in first class condition. All new tile required to replace broken or damaged ones shall be first grade tile.

#### Main Ditch.

To consist of one row of \( \begin{aligned} \) inch drain tile from station 0 to station \( \lefta \) and one row of \( \lefta \) sewer tile from station \( \lefta \) to station 66+25 or terminus.

#### Eaving tile, deadening, clearing banks, filling & etc.,

All tile used in the construction of the ditch to first grade tile subject to the inspection and approval of the Superintendent in charge of the work and to be laid in the trench in a true line with joints well matched and at proper grade as shown on the profile hereto attached and Marked Exhibit "B" and made a part of this report. Tile to be left uncovered until inspected by the Superintendent, after which the trench shall be filled full where the trench is a new cut and where the ditch follows in the old channel the tile shall be covered with two feet of earth. All trees to be deadened and bushes cut back a distance of twenty five feet on each

小鸡的 2000年

side of the ditch. All work to be done in a first class and workmanlike manner and to the full satisfaction of the Superintendent incharge of the work.

#### Retaining Wall.

At station 66+25 to be constructed a retaining wall (15'X 62'X 2')
Said wall to laid up, of field rock in cement mortar made from one part
Portland Cement to prof Three parts clean sharp sand. The wall shall be set
to such grade as will receive the tile 18 inches above the base of the wall.

### Fall of Established Grade.

#### Main Ditch.

From Station 0 to station 30, 35/100 foot to each 100 feet.

From Station 30 to station 40, 40/100 foot to each 100 feet.

From Station 40 to 66+25, 16/100 foot to each 100 feet.

#### Brown Section.

From station 0 to station 14, 10/100 foot to each 100 feet.

00394.35E-1

#### Estimated Cost.

Section 1. or Brown Arm.
Excavation, 600 cubic yards,\$150.00
400 feet 12 inch drain tile,\$ 38.00
Laying tile, filling ditch, clearing banks & etc., \$ 35.99
Main Ditch.
Excavation 2000cubic yards,\$500.00
6615 feet 18 inch drain tile,
10 feet 18 inch sewer tile,\$ 12.00
Retaining wall at Station 66+25 (158X 2' X $6\frac{1}{2}$ )\$ 25.00
Laying tile, filling ditch, clearing banks & etc.,\$200.00 27 29
Total, and once the one in the true to the

PROFILE PAPER.

No. of Station,	Depth of Cut.	Width on Top.	Width in Bottom,	No. of Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price r Cubi <b>c</b> Y	per ard.	Total Co perStatio	st on,		
0	362									
/	362 724			18						
2	691			20						
$\mathcal{J}$	664			21						
of	632			23						
T	714			22						
6	1023			20						
7	595			21		į				
8	591			21						
9	573			23						
10	338			24						
11	559			24 24 24						
12	503			24						
13	503			24						
14	466			23						
15	5/5			23						·
16	527			18						
17	5/6			39						
18	534			39						
19	5/3			39						
20	527			39						
21	470			38						
22	451			35						
23	435			33						Ng.
24	453		j	33						
25	451			24						
26	454			33						
27	453			33.						
28	471		j	30						
29	198			36	ļ			-		
,	482		Constitution of the consti	36			-			
	This copy printed from	n the Digital Archi	ve of the Hamilton	County Surveyor'	s Office; On	ie Han	nilton Co. Square,	Ste. 18	8, Noblesville, In 46060	e e estado. A talente

## PROFILE PAPER.

No. of Station,	Depth of Cut.	Width on Top.	Width in Bottom.	No. of Cubic Yards to be Removed,	Price p Cubic Y	er ard.	Total Cost perStation.			
31	475			36				7.5-1		1
32	475			36						
33	467		:	35						
34	431			34						
33	444		,	33						
06	444			33						
37	581			38						
38	508			21					`	
39	0335	·		23						
40	043			26						
41	035 043 Morrada 031	ng		28						
42	031	!		28						
43	526			28						•
ofel	526 484			29						
45	528			38						
46	510			40					س.	
47	504			38						
48	482			37						
49	457			36					•	
250	498			<b>ઝ</b> હ	- the special section of the section					
07	469			37						
OB	481			36	de la companya de la				,	٠.
50	469			36						
es of	494			36	200				-	
55	500			38						
06	481			37						
07	481		,	32						1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
v 8	508			38						
59	619			18						
be	586		-	18						i i
61	0 95	AL-D		18	- 0.55		t C	100 M 11	In 40000	*
	This copy printed from	i the Digital Archi	ve or the Hamilton	County Surveyor	s Office; One	Hamil	non Co. Squaret Ste.	155, Noblesville	ın 46060	

# PROFILE PAPER.

No. of Station,	Depth of Cut.	Width on Top.	Width in Bottom.	No. of Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price per Cubic Yard.	Total Cost per Station.	
62	537	18		18			
63	246	191 21 389		19			
64	522	38		21			
	500	38		38			
66	476	29		29			
66+25	615	20		20			
		Bio	stront s	Sent			
0	528	Bior euryds Excavation					
/	559	40					
2	357	34					·
3	428	30					
of	446	33					
T.	le 0 8	39					
6	595	3/4					
7	606	44					
8	818	18					
4	773	45					
10.	650	04					
//	680	48		·			
·	6/5	48					
13	423	39					,
14	425	32					
			,			,	
	,						
		·					
	·						
	This copy printed i	rom the Digital Arc	hive of the Hamilt	on County Surveyo	or's Office; One Ha	milton Co. Square, Ste.	188, Noblesville, In 46060

No.	names.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.			Tp.	egu	Acres Assessed	Acres Benefited		Amt. of		Tota	is
	en e			Section	H.	Ren	A Hun	<b>A</b>	Hun.	\$ Suppose productions	Cts.	\$	Cts.
100	Jesse Stern	Crw E2	SE.	28	19	3	-	24				78	60
2	Geo. Roudebush	CHEZEZ PASW	TE Sw.	28	19	<b>J</b>	-	20					
1 1	·				<b>[</b> ]			16				118	00
3	Sophia Heowell	M2WE MO	WE MV	33 34	19 79	5		20 40	1 1			196	JO
	6,7 Mallery	P4712 Sco	mo.					12				39	
25	St. Slern	PI SW	88.	28	19	3		37				121	
1 2		RIMO	WE.	33	19	5	. `	21				40	"טל
7	Herace Brown	WE	nw.	<i>3</i>	19	<i>U</i>		40				/3/	20
8	Jerse Roudebush	PtE2	Sw	28	19	<b>}</b>		65				142	00
9	Moses Smeltzer	P4W= 18282	SW.					70				174	00
	Ym Heiney	PIER	88					3 <b>,3</b>				70	
11	Mary a. Neff	16 W 28 8 8 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	~~~	29	19	<u></u>		32	12			70	8/
12		$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{W}}$	NE.					40			- Constitution of the Cons	Town and the second	
	Sam Craig Do	M SE	ME.	32	19	十	-	40 40			2 7	262	30
73	alfred Barter		mv.					2/				70	
$\Lambda$	Sarah P. Hamble		mv:					40			Ĭ	87	
i	Eva S. Barbur		mi				1 1	40	1				
		NESE  hive of the Hamilton County Survey	nw nw);	. !	1	!		13 188	Vohlber	ville In W	6060	304	od
:	ims copy printed from the Digital Ale	of the Hammon County Surveyo	or a onice, of	.c 11d	(91	0	. square, site	13	TOOLES'	, mc, m 40		ANALISE BLANCE PROPERTY PROPER	

No.	NAMES.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section	T.	Kange	Acre Assess		Acres Benefited		t. of	Tot	
16	Jacob Sapper,	RIEZ SE	30	F-74.421	1			A Hu	n. \$	Ots.	\$	Ots.
1.	Do	" W2W2 SW.	ı		, ,	i		/5	-		97	r 5-0
17	(Cevery Farm)	Sw mw	3,2	19	ا ا			40				
	(Cevery Farm)	wise mo	<i>ح</i> 3	19	<b>/</b>	-		20				
ļ	Do	TE ME. P4.WE WE		1	- 1	ī	i	30			570	000
1/8	Corownland Canalys	Dr.S. N. MS	5	, 0								
1 .								5			16	O.F.
19	Daniel Tascho	MG SE SE SE.	3/	19	J		l l	to				
, ,			Ì					40			3 4/3	700
20	J.B. Jascho	5A57400 Sid. 10 2 Sw	<i>ب</i> 3	19	<b>,</b>	-	/	3	-		49	00
2/	L. Conrad	1 A nonh Side WE	6	18		-					14	20
122	nobliville Township	Highway Eno cent									·	
·		" Eow-52 DE " No3 bet 3223	7	9	5		}	A S				
		" Exu "30" 25	29	19	ر س							
		" NTS May Cent W	33	19	J-			1			06	so
	,								į.			
•												
		•									·	
											,	
:											·	-
	:											
	This copy printed from the Digital Arch	ive of the Hamilton County Surveyor's Office; One	Ham	ilton (	Ço.	Square.	Ste. 18	88, Noble	sville, In 4	6060		
												17

State of Indiana, Hamilton County,

The undersigned Viewers and Engineer to whom was referred the above entitled petition for drainage, after being first duly sworn upon oath says that they have personally examined the whole line and route of the proposed drain, that the assessments made herein and reported are correct, just and equitable to all parties named therein as interested, that no other lands will be benefitted or injured by the proposed drain, that the above and proposed foregoing report is true in substance and in fact as we each verily believe.

Exam Bay Drainage Commissioners.

4000

and the second s

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the 2/ day of May, 1910,

Auditor Hamilton County.

